

AN INEQUALITY FOR LOGARITHMS AND ITS APPLICATION IN CODING THEORY

N.M. DRAGOMIR AND S.S. DRAGOMIR

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove a new analytic inequality for logarithms and apply it for the Noiseless Coding Theorem.

1 INTRODUCTION

The following analytic inequality for logarithms is well known in the literature (see for example [1, Lemma 1.2.2, p. 22]):

Lemma 1.1. *Let $P = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ be a probability distribution, that is, $0 \leq p_i \leq 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$. Let $Q = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$ have the property that $0 \leq q_i \leq 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n q_i \leq 1$ (note the inequality here). Then*

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \log_b \left(\frac{1}{p_i} \right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \log_b \left(\frac{1}{q_i} \right)$$

where $b > 1$, $0 \cdot \log_b(1/0) = 0$ and $p \cdot \log_b(1/0) = +\infty$. Furthermore, equality holds if and only if $q_i = p_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Note that the proof of this fact uses the elementary inequality for logarithms (see [1, p. 22])

$$(1.2) \quad \ln x \leq x - 1 \quad \text{for all } x > 0.$$

Also, we would like to remark that the inequality (1.1) was used to obtain many important results from the foundations of Information Theory such as: the range of the entropy mapping, the Noiseless Coding Theorem, etc. For some recent results which provide similar inequalities see the papers [2-6].

The main aim of this paper is to point out a counterpart inequality for (1.1) and to use it in connection with the *Noiseless Coding Theorem*.

2 THE RESULTS

We shall start with the following inequality.

Date. November, 1998

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 26D15; Secondary 94Xxx

Key words and phrases. Analytic Inequalities, Noiseless Coding Theorem

Lemma 2.1. *Let p_i, q_i be strictly positive real numbers for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then we have the double inequality:*

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{1}{\ln r} \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i - q_i) \\ \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\log_r \frac{1}{q_i} - \log_r \frac{1}{p_i} \right) p_i \leq \frac{1}{\ln r} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} - 1 \right) p_i$$

where $r > 1, r \in \mathbf{R}$. The equality holds in both inequalities iff $p_i = q_i$ for all i .

Proof. The mapping $f(x) = \log_r x$ is a concave mapping on $(0, \infty)$ and thus satisfies the double inequality

$$f'(y)(x - y) \geq f(x) - f(y) \geq f'(x)(x - y)$$

for all $x, y > 0$, and as

$$f'(x) = \frac{1}{\ln r} \cdot \frac{1}{x}$$

we get

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{1}{\ln r} \cdot \frac{x - y}{y} \geq \log_r x - \log_r y \geq \frac{1}{\ln r} \cdot \frac{x - y}{x} \quad \text{for all } x, y > 0.$$

Let us choose $x = \frac{1}{q_i}, y = \frac{1}{p_i}$ in (2.2) to get

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{1}{\ln r} \cdot \frac{(p_i - q_i)}{q_i} \geq \log_r \frac{1}{q_i} - \log_r \frac{1}{p_i} \geq \frac{1}{\ln r} \cdot \frac{(p_i - q_i)}{p_i}$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Now, if we multiply this inequality by $p_i > 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) we get:

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{1}{\ln r} \left[p_i \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} - 1 \right) \right] \geq p_i \log_r \frac{1}{q_i} - p_i \log_r \frac{1}{p_i} \geq \frac{1}{\ln r} \cdot (p_i - q_i)$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Now, summing over i from 1 to n , we obtain the desired inequality (2.1).

The statement on equality holds by the strict concavity of the mapping $\log_r(\cdot)$. We shall omit the details. ■

Corollary 2.2. *Let $P = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ be a probability distribution, that is, $p_i \in [0, 1]$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$. Let $Q = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$ have the property that $q_i \in [0, 1]$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n q_i \leq 1$ (note the inequality here). Then we have:*

$$(2.5) \quad 0 \leq \frac{1}{\ln r} \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \right) \\ \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \log_r \frac{1}{q_i} - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \log_r \frac{1}{p_i} \leq \frac{1}{\ln r} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p_i^2}{q_i} - 1 \right)$$

where $r > 1, r \in \mathbf{R}$. The Equality holds iff $p_i = q_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$).

The proof is obvious by Lemma 2.1 taking into account that $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$ and $1 \geq \sum_{i=1}^n q_i$.

Remark 2.1. *Note that the above corollary is a worth-while improvement of Lemma 1.2.2 from the book [1] which plays there a very important role in obtaining the basically inequalities for entropy, conditional entropy, mutual information, etc.*

Now, consider an encoding scheme (c_1, \dots, c_n) for a probability distribution (p_1, \dots, p_n) . Recall that the *average codeword length* of an encoding scheme (c_1, \dots, c_n) for (p_1, \dots, p_n) is

$$\text{AveLen}(c_1, \dots, c_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \text{len}(c_i).$$

We denote the length $\text{len}(c_i)$ by l_i .

Recall also that the r -ary entropy of a probability distribution (or of a source) is given by:

$$H_r(p_1, \dots, p_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \log_r \frac{1}{p_i}.$$

The following theorem is well known in the literature (see for example [1, Theorem 2.3.1, p. 62]):

Theorem 2.3. *Let $C = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$ be an instantaneous (decipherable) encoding scheme for $P = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$. Then we have the inequality:*

$$(2.6) \quad H_r(p_1, \dots, p_n) \leq \text{AveLen}(c_1, \dots, c_n),$$

with equality if and only if $l_i = \log_r(\frac{1}{p_i})$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

We shall give now the following sharpening of (2.6) which has important consequences in connection with Noiseless Coding Theorem as follows.

Theorem 2.4. *Let C and P be as in the above theorem. Then we have the inequality:*

$$(2.7) \quad 0 \leq \frac{1}{\ln r} \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{r^{l_i}} \right) \\ \leq \text{AveLen}(c_1, \dots, c_n) - H_r(p_1, \dots, p_n) \leq \frac{1}{\ln r} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i (p_i r^{l_i} - 1).$$

The Equality holds iff $l_i = \log_r(\frac{1}{p_i})$.

Proof. Define $q_i := \frac{1}{r^{l_i}}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Then $q_i \in [0, 1]$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n q_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{r^{l_i}} \leq 1$ by Kraft's theorem (see for example [1, Theorem 2.1.2, p. 44]) and by a simple computation (as in [1, p. 62]) we have :

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \log_r \frac{1}{q_i} = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \log_r (r^{l_i}) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i l_i = \text{AveLen}(c_1, \dots, c_n).$$

Also

$$\frac{1}{\ln r} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p_i^2}{q_i} - 1 \right) = \frac{1}{\ln r} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i (r^{l_i} - 1).$$

Thus inequality (2.5) yields (2.7). ■

The following theorem also holds.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $P = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ be a given probability distribution and $r \in \mathbf{N}$, $r \geq 2$. If $\varepsilon > 0$ is given and there exists natural numbers l_1, \dots, l_n such that*

$$(2.8) \quad \log_r \left(\frac{1}{p_i} \right) \leq l_i \leq \log_r \left(\frac{1 + \varepsilon \ln r}{p_i} \right) \quad \text{for all } i \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

then there exists an instantaneous r -ary code $C = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$ with codeword length $\text{len}(c_i) = l_i$ such that:

$$(2.9) \quad H_r(p_1, \dots, p_n) \leq \text{AveLen}(c_1, \dots, c_n) \leq H_r(p_1, \dots, p_n) + \varepsilon.$$

Proof. First of all, let us observe that (2.8) is equivalent to

$$(2.10) \quad \frac{1}{p_i} \leq r^{l_i} \leq \frac{1 + \varepsilon \ln r}{p_i}, \quad \text{for all } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

Now, as $\frac{1}{r^{l_i}} \leq p_i$, we deduce that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{r^{l_i}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$$

and by Kraft's theorem, there exists an instantaneous r -ary code $C = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$ so that $\text{len}(c_i) = l_i$. Obviously, by the Theorem 2.3, the first inequality in (2.9) holds.

We prove the second inequality.

By Theorem 2.4 we have the estimate

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{AveLen}(c_1, \dots, c_n) - H_r(p_1, \dots, p_n) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\ln r} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i (p_i r^{l_i} - 1) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\ln r} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i |p_i r^{l_i} - 1| \leq \max_{i=1, \dots, n} \{|p_i r^{l_i} - 1|\} \frac{1}{\ln r} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \\ & = \frac{1}{\ln r} \max_{i=1, \dots, n} \{|p_i r^{l_i} - 1|\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we observe that (2.10) implies

$$\frac{1 - \varepsilon \ln r}{p_i} \leq \frac{1}{p_i} \leq r^{l_i} \leq \frac{1 + \varepsilon \ln r}{p_i}, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

i.e. ,

$$1 - \varepsilon \ln r \leq p_i r^{l_i} \leq 1 + \varepsilon \ln r, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

which is equivalent to

$$|p_i r^{l_i} - 1| \leq \varepsilon \ln r \quad \text{for all } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$

and then, by (2.11), we deduce the second part of (2.9). ■

Remark 2.2. Since for $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, we have for all $r > 0$,

$$\log_r \left(\frac{1 + \varepsilon \ln r}{p_i} \right) - \log_r \left(\frac{1}{p_i} \right) = \log_r (1 + \varepsilon \ln r) < \log_r r = 1,$$

(because $1 + \varepsilon \ln r < r$ for all r for a given $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$) we are not sure always we can find a natural number l_i so that inequality (2.8) holds.

Before giving some sufficient conditions for the probability $P = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ so that we can find natural numbers l_i satisfying the inequalities (2.8), let us recall the Noiseless Coding Theorem.

We shall use the notation

$$\text{MinAveLen}_r(p_1, \dots, p_n)$$

to denote the minimum average codeword length among all r -ary instantaneous encoding scheme for the probability distribution $P = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$.

The following Noiseless Coding Theorem is well known in the literature (see for example [1, Theorem 2.3.2, p. 64]) :

Theorem 2.6. For any probability distribution $P = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ we have

$$(2.12) \quad H_r(p_1, \dots, p_n) \leq \text{MinAveLen}_r(p_1, \dots, p_n) < H_r(p_1, \dots, p_n) + 1.$$

The following question arises naturally:

Question: Is it possible to replace the constant 1 on (2.12) by a smaller constant $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ under some conditions on the probability distribution $P = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$?

We are able to give the following (partial) answer to this question.

Theorem 2.7. Let r be a given natural number and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. If a probability distribution $P = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ satisfies the condition that every closed interval

$$I_i = \left[\log_r \left(\frac{1}{p_i} \right), \log_r \left(\frac{1 + \varepsilon \ln r}{p_i} \right) \right], \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$

contains at least one natural number l_i , then for that probability distribution P we have

$$(2.13) \quad H_r(p_1, \dots, p_n) \leq \text{MinAveLen}_r(p_1, \dots, p_n) \leq H_r(p_1, \dots, p_n) + \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Under the hypotheses

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{r^{l_i}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$$

and by Kraft's theorem, there exists an instantaneous code $C = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$ so that $\text{len}(c_i) = l_i$. For that code we have the condition (2.8) and then, by Theorem 2.5, we have the inequality (2.9). Taking the infimum in that inequality over all r -ary instantaneous codes, we get (2.13). ■

The following theorem could be useful for applications.

Theorem 2.8. *Let a_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) be n natural numbers. If p_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are such that*

$$(2.14) \quad \frac{1}{r^{a_i}} \leq p_i \leq \frac{1 + \varepsilon \ln r}{r^{a_i}} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n;$$

and $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$, then there exists an instantaneous code $C = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$ with $\text{len}(c_i) = a_i$ so that (2.9) holds for the probability distribution $P = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$. Furthermore, for that distribution, we have the inequality (2.13).

Proof. The condition (2.14) is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{p_i} \leq r^{a_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1 + \varepsilon \ln r}{p_i} \geq r^{a_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n;$$

which implies

$$\log_r \left(\frac{1}{p_i} \right) \leq a_i \leq \log_r \left(\frac{1 + \varepsilon \ln r}{p_i} \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, n;$$

and then $a_i \in I_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Applying the above results, we get the desired conclusion. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] S. ROMAN, *Coding and Information Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1992.
- [2] S.S. DRAGOMIR and C.J. GOH, A counterpart of Jensen's discrete inequality for differentiable convex mapping and applications in information theory, *Math. Comput. Modeling*, **24**(2) (1996), 1 - 11 .
- [3] S.S. DRAGOMIR and C.J. GOH, Further counterparts of some inequalities in information theory, *submitted*.
- [4] S.S. DRAGOMIR and C.J. GOH, A counterpart of Jensen's continuous inequality and applications in information theory, *submitted*.
- [5] S.S. DRAGOMIR and C.J. GOH, Some monotonicity and superadditivity properties of the entropy function, *submitted*.
- [6] S.S. DRAGOMIR and C.J. GOH, Some bound on entropy measures in information theory, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **10**(3)(1997), 23-28.

SCHOOL OF COMMUNICATIONS AND INFORMATICS, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, PO BOX 14428, MELBOURNE CITY MC, VICTORIA 8001, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: {nico, sever}@matilda.vut.edu.au